To: Bohan, Suzanne[bohan.suzanne@epa.gov]; Stavnes, Sandra[Stavnes.Sandra@epa.gov]

Cc: Smith, Paula[Smith.Paula@epa.gov]

From: Russo, Rebecca

**Sent:** Fri 8/14/2015 10:51:09 PM

Subject: RE: PRIORITY 1 Congressional Requests. Responses Needed ASAP.

HI Suzanne,

I'm not in a position to request folks to work over the weekend. I can tell you I'll be here all day tomorrow, and potentially Sunday, to process whatever I happen to get in. HQ says ASAP, but we can only do what we can do.

Thanks,

Rebecca

Rebecca A. Russo

Region 8 Congressional and Intergovernmental Liaison

Office: 303-312-6757

Cell: 303-204-1930

From: Bohan, Suzanne

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 4:47 PM

To: Russo, Rebecca; Stavnes, Sandra; Land, Kelcey; Smith, Paula; Sisk, Richard; Piggott,

Amelia

Subject: Re: PRIORITY 1 Congressional Requests. Responses Needed ASAP.

Rebecca -

Do you anticipate needing assistance from ECEJ on Saturday and Sunday related to reviewing requests from Congressionals and/or press?

Suzanne

From: Russo, Rebecca

Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 4:02 PM

To: Stavnes, Sandra; Madigan, Andrea; Land, Kelcey; Smith, Paula; Sisk, Richard; Bohan,

Suzanne; Piggott, Amelia; Williams, Laura; Ostrander, David

**Cc:** EOC Liaison; Acevedo, Janie; Levine, Carolyn; Smith, Paula; Card, Joan **Subject:** PRIORITY 1 Congressional Requests. Responses Needed ASAP.

Hi all,

In an effort to regain some control over the flurry of congressional requests we are getting in, I will do my best to send prioritized tables for you to review and work on. However, emergency request will come in outside of this process and I ask for your patience and assistance when information is needed right away. Everyone has been exceptional so far and I really appreciate it!

Please find below the Priority 1 requests for ECEJ/EPR. Priority 2 and Priority 3 requests will be coming your way today also. If I am sending these to the wrong people, please let me know who would be better to receive them.

Please circulate **ONLY FINAL** responses to me and please mark them **FINAL**. If you are working directly with the JIC, please add this language: **FINAL** with approval from JIC. If a response does not contain that statement, I will work with Janie Acevedo (our liaison with the JIC) for review and finalization by JIC.

I will then disseminate the final JIC approved response to the appropriate parties.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Rebecca A. Russo

Region 8 Congressional and Intergovernmental Liaison

Office: 303-312-6757

Cell: 303-204-1930

## Priority 1 requests made to Region 8 ECEJ/EPR:

## General Subject(s)

Request			
#	Requestor		Question
Access Agreement	From House		Assessment its assess Collins
9	E&C	C.	Access agreement with owner of Gold King
Access Agreement	Committee	Levine	
Access Agreement	Senator		Access agreement between EPA and the owner of the Gold King Mine (San Juan
	Boxer/		Corp./Todd Hennis); any other agreements
34	Senate	C.	with San Juan Corp. or the previous owner,
	EPW		Gold King Mines Corp., concerning the Gold
	Committee		King Mine
Consent Decree	From House	•	· ···· · <b>3</b> · · · · · · ·
10	E&C	C.	1996 consent decree with predecessor of
	Committee	Levine	
Consent Decree	Senator		1996 Consent Decree between Sunnyside
	Boxer/		Gold Corp. and State of Colorado Water
38	Senate	C.	Quality Division concerning the Sunnyside
	EPW	Levine	Mine
<b>D D</b>	Committee		5
Planning Documents	<b></b>	_	Planning documents relating to the work
7	From House		done at the Gold King mine and any related
7	E&C	C.	investigation work at mines in the Upper Animus Mining District
PRPs	From House		Attitus withing district
11	E&C	C.	Specifically identify all PRPs at the site
11	Committee		opeomodity identity and the site
Removal Action	From House		Explain the relationship between the work at
16	E&C	C.	the Red and Bonita mine site removal action
	Committee	Levine	and the Gold King mine.
Risk Assessment	From House	9	Risk assessment (or any related
6	E&C	C.	documents) for the Gold King mine
	Committee	Levine	
Time-critical			Confirm whether the work opening up Portal
			#7 at the Gold King Mine was part of the
			time-critical removal action at the Red &
	Canatan		Bonita Mines, and was being done under
	Senator Boxer/		the 9/24/14 TCRAM, whether there was a separate TCRAM for the Gold King; or
35	Senate	C.	whether EPA considered the work as part of
<del>55</del>	Jenale	<b>O</b> .	whether LFA considered the work as part of

sheet and funding authority memo. 1. I received an inquiry from HEC Committee staff asking why it was a "time-critical" removal action if we've been investigating a From House the site since the early 2000's. 2. Also, 1 E&C needs additional information on whether the C. Committee Levine "time-critical" portion refers to just Red Bonita or Gold King Mine also. Engineering report for the Gold King mine (i 8Engineering From House E&C Report / Committee C. not time-critical action)

Levine the ongoing investigation of the Upper

HQ-EOC sent me the attached R8 fact

Animas Mining District site.

EPW

Time-Critical / Removal Action

Committee